

HASSE-ARF THEOREM FOR \mathbb{F}_p -VECTOR SPACE SCHEMES OF RANK TWO

SHIN HATTORI

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be an odd prime and f be an elliptic modular form of level N prime to p and weight $k \leq p + 1$. Let us consider its associated mod p Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and its restriction to the inertia subgroup I_p . The theorem of Deligne and Fontaine asserts that the tame characters appearing in $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_p}$ are determined by k .

Theorem 1.1 (Deligne, Fontaine).

$$\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_p} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_p^{k-1} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } f \text{ is ordinary at } p, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{p^2-1}^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{p^2-1}^{p(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } f \text{ is supersingular at } p, \end{cases}$$

where χ_p is the mod p cyclotomic character and θ_d is the fundamental character of level d in the sense of [14].

This classification is the basis for the local analysis of $\bar{\rho}_f$, especially for the Serre conjecture of mod p modular forms ([14]). We have two proofs of this theorem for $k < p$: one uses Raynaud's full faithful theorem for finite flat representations ([9, section 6]) and the other uses p -adic Hodge theory and the Fontaine-Laffaille functor ([8, Proposition 4.1.1]). In both proofs, it is crucial that p is absolutely unramified, and this is the very obstacle to carry out a similar analysis on the weight of a modular form and its mod p Galois representation for a totally real number field F . In this note, we propose a new approach to tackle this problem which is applicable without any restriction to the ramification index e of F at p , at least in the case of parallel weight $(2, \dots, 2)$. Namely, we prove the following conjecture in the reducible case for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with perfect residue field and I_K be its inertia subgroup. Let \mathbb{F} be a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_p and \mathcal{G} be a finite flat \mathbb{F} -vector*

Date: May 1, 2007.

space scheme of rank 2 over \mathcal{O}_K . Write $c = c(\mathcal{G})$ for the conductor of \mathcal{G} ([2], [3]) and k/l for the prime-to- p part of $c \bmod \mathbb{Z}$. Then an I_K -module $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ contains θ_l^k as an I_K -submodule. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$ is reducible, then we have $\theta_l^k \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$.

This conjecture can be regarded as the counterpart for finite flat group schemes of the Hasse-Arf theorem in the classical ramification theory. In fact, if the Galois group G_K acts trivially on $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$, this is equivalent to the assertion that, for a complete discrete valuation field M and an abelian extension L of M whose integer ring is a \mathcal{G} -torsor over \mathcal{O}_M , the denominator of the conductor $c(L/M)$ is p -power. In this case, the assertion follows easily from the theorem of Herbrand for finite flat group schemes ([1, Lemme 2.10]).

To prove the conjecture for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$, we will firstly show the compatibility of the theory of Breuil ([5]) with respect to a base extension in $K_\infty = K(\pi^{p^{-\infty}})$. This makes us possible to describe a defining equation of \mathcal{G} explicitly. By virtue of the full faithful theorem of Breuil ([6, Theorem 3.4.3]), such a base change is harmless to study finite flat representations. Next we gather some elementary lemmas for the calculation of the conductor. As a corollary, we determine the conductor of a Raynaud \mathbb{F} -vector space scheme, which is independent of the proof of the main theorem. Then we prove the main theorem by a lengthy calculation. In the forthcoming paper [12], we prove the conjecture in general, by a more geometrical method.

2. BASE CHANGE PROPERTY FOR A FILTERED ϕ_1 -MODULE OF BREUIL

In this section, we briefly recall the theory of a filtered ϕ_1 -module of Breuil ([5]) and give a proof of its compatibility with the base change from K to K_∞ .

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, k be its residue field which we suppose to be perfect in this section, e be its absolute ramification index, $W = W(k)$ and σ be the Frobenius of W . We fix once and for all an uniformizer π of K . Let $E(u) = u^e - pF(u)$ be the Eisenstein polynomial of π over W and set $S = S_\pi = (W[u]^{\text{PD}})^\wedge$, where the divided power envelope of $W[u]$ is taken with respect to an ideal $(E(u))$ and compatibility with the natural divided power structure on pW , and \wedge means the π -adic completion. The ring S is endowed with a σ -semilinear map $\phi : u \mapsto u^p$, which we also call Frobenius, and the natural filtration induced by the divided power structure. We set $\phi_1 = 1/p \cdot \phi|_{\text{Fil}^1 S}$ and $c = \phi_1(E(u)) \in S^\times$. We define ϕ , ϕ_1 and a filtration on $S_n = S/p^n$ similarly.

In [5], following categories of filtered ϕ_1 -modules are defined. Set $'\mathcal{M}$ be a category consisting of following data;

- an S -module M and its S -submodule $\text{Fil}^1 M$ containing $\text{Fil}^1 SM$,
- ϕ -semilinear map $\phi_1 : \text{Fil}^1 M \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\phi_1(s_1 m) = \phi_1(s_1)\phi(m)$, where $s_1 \in \text{Fil}^1 S$, $m \in M$ and $\phi(m) = c^{-1}\phi_1(E(u)m)$.

Let \mathcal{M}_1 be a full subcategory of $'\mathcal{M}$ consisting of M satisfying

- the S_1 -module M is free of finite rank,
- $\phi_1(\text{Fil}^1 M)$ generates M as an S -module.

and \mathcal{M} be the minimal full subcategory of $'\mathcal{M}$ which contains \mathcal{M}_1 and stable under extension.

The category \mathcal{M} is shown to be categorically anti-equivalent to the category $(p\text{-Gr}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ of the finite flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K which is killed by some p -power ([5]). Let us recall the definition of this equivalence. Let $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\text{syn}}$ be the category of the p -adic formal schemes of formally syntomic, endowed with the Grothendieck topology generated by the surjective families of formally syntomic morphisms. Write $(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ for the category of the abelian sheaves on $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\text{syn}}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{n,\pi}$ is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}(\mathfrak{X}) = H_{\text{crys}}^0((\mathfrak{X}_n/S_n)_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n/S_n})$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,\pi}(\mathfrak{X}) = H_{\text{crys}}^0((\mathfrak{X}_n/S_n)_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{X}_n/S_n}),$$

where $\mathfrak{X}_n = \mathfrak{X}/p^n$. We also set $\mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi} = \varinjlim \mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\infty,\pi} = \varinjlim \mathcal{J}_{n,\pi}$. We denote by $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{n,\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}$ the crystalline Frobenius map. We can define the natural morphism $\phi_1 : \mathcal{J}_{n,\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}$ which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{n,\pi} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{O}_{n,\pi} \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \times p \\ \mathcal{J}_{n+1,\pi} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{O}_{n+1,\pi} \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{G} \in (p\text{-Gr}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Define

$$\text{Mod}_K(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}_{(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_K)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi})$$

and

$$\text{Gr}_K(M) = \text{Hom}_{'\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}).$$

Then the main theorem of [5] is the following.

Theorem 2.1 (Breuil). *The functor Gr_K defines an anti-equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow (p\text{-Gr}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ and its quasi-inverse is Mod_K .*

Next we consider the base change theorem of the functor Gr for an extension $K_1 = K(\pi^{1/p})$ over K . This extension is totally ramified of degree p . The minimal polynomial of $\pi_1 = \pi^{1/p}$ over W is $E_1(v) = E(v^p) = v^{ep} - pF(v^p)$. Set $S' = S_{\pi_1} = (W[v]^{PD})^\wedge$, where the divided power envelope is taken with respect to $(E_1(v))$ and compatibility with the natural divided power structure on pW . The ring S' has a σ -semilinear endomorphism $\phi : S' \rightarrow S'$ defined by $v \mapsto v^p$ and a ϕ -semilinear map $\text{Fil}^1 S' \rightarrow S'$ satisfying $\phi|_{\text{Fil}^1 S'} = p\phi_1$. We have a ring homomorphism $S \rightarrow S'$ which maps u to v^p . This respects the filtration and ϕ_1 .

Lemma 2.2. *The S -module S' is free of finite rank.*

Proof. The $W[u]$ -algebra $W[v]$ is free of finite rank. We have $(E(u)W[v] = (E_1(v)))$. Therefore $W[v]^{PD} = W[u]^{PD} \otimes_{W[u]} W[v]$ from [4, Proposition 3.21] and $W[u]^{PD} \rightarrow W[v]^{PD}$ is also free of finite rank. Thus $(W[v]^{PD})^\wedge = (W[u]^{PD})^\wedge \otimes_{W[u]^{PD}} W[v]^{PD}$. This concludes the proof. \square

Let us denote the category of filtered ϕ_1 -modules over S' by $'\mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}' . From the lemma above, we can define a filtered ϕ_1 -module structure on $M' = M \otimes_S S'$ for any $M \in '\mathcal{M}$ by $\text{Fil}^1 M' = (\text{Fil}^1 M) \otimes_S S'$ and $\phi_{1, M'} = \phi_1 \otimes \phi$. If $M \in \mathcal{M}$, then we have $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$.

For a presheaf \mathcal{F} on $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\text{syn}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}$ the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})_{\text{syn}}$. If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\text{syn}}$, then $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}$ is also a sheaf on $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})_{\text{syn}}$.

Define a morphism $\Psi_M : \text{Gr}(M)|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(M')$ of $(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$ as follows. For any \mathfrak{X}' , formally syntomic over $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$, we want to set $\Psi_{M, \mathfrak{X}'} : \text{Hom}_{'\mathcal{M}}^S(M, \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi}(\mathfrak{X}')) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}'}^{S'}(M \otimes_S S', \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi_1}(\mathfrak{X}'))$ by $f \mapsto (m \otimes s' \mapsto s' \cdot \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{X}'}^*(f(m)))$, where $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{X}'}^* : \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi}(\mathfrak{X}') = H_{\text{crys}}^0(\mathfrak{X}'_n/S_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{crys}}^0(\mathfrak{X}'_n/S'_n) = \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi_1}(\mathfrak{X}')$ is the natural pull-back. The map $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{X}'}^*$ respects the filtration. We have to show the compatibility with ϕ_1 .

Consider $\mathfrak{X}' = \text{Spf}(\mathfrak{A}')$. We can write $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}\langle X'_1, \dots, X'_r \rangle / (f_1, \dots, f_s)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}\langle X'_1, \dots, X'_r \rangle = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}[X'_1, \dots, X'_r]^\wedge$ and f_1, \dots, f_s is a regular sequence in that ring ([5, Lemme 2.2.1]). Put $\mathfrak{A}'_i = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}\langle X_0'^{p^{-i}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-i}} \rangle / (X_0' - \pi_1, f_1, \dots, f_s)$ and $\mathfrak{A}'_\infty = \varinjlim \mathfrak{A}'_i$. The W -algebra \mathfrak{A}'_i is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}_K[T] / (T^p - \pi) \langle X_0'^{p^{-i}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-i}} \rangle / (X_0' - T, f_1, \dots, f_s) \\ &= W[u, T] / (E(u), T^p - u) \langle X_0'^{p^{-i}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-i}} \rangle / (X_0' - T, f_1, \dots, f_s) \\ &= W \langle X_0'^{p^{-i}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-i}} \rangle / (E(X_0'^p), f_1, \dots, f_s). \end{aligned}$$

We also set $A'_\infty = \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p = k[X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}]/(X_0'^{ep}, \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_s)$. Note that the formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathfrak{A}'_i)$ is a covering of $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathfrak{A}')$ in $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})_{\mathrm{syn}}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The following two sequences are exact;*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{r,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \xrightarrow{\times p^s} \mathcal{O}_{r+s,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{r,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \xrightarrow{\times p^s} \mathcal{J}_{r+s,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{s,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, there are exact sequences in $(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{r,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \xrightarrow{\times p^s} \mathcal{O}_{r+s,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{r,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \xrightarrow{\times p^s} \mathcal{J}_{r+s,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{s,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We repeat just the same argument as [5, Lemme 2.3.2].

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{n,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) = H_{\mathrm{crys}}^0(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n/S_n)$ is isomorphic to $(W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD}$, where the divided power envelope in the right hand side is taken with respect to the kernel of a surjection $W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u] \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n$ which sends $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \otimes u$ to $X_0'^p \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k \hat{x}_k^{p^{n-k}}$, and compatibility with the natural divided power structure on pW . Here we denote an lifting of x_k in \mathfrak{A}'_∞/p^n by \hat{x}_k . In fact, this surjection induces a thickening $(W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n$ of \mathfrak{A}'_∞/p^n over S_n and thus we have the natural projection $H_{\mathrm{crys}}^0(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n/S_n) \rightarrow (W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD}$. Its inverse map $(W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{crys}}^0(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n/S_n)$ is defined as follows. For any affine thickening $U \rightarrow T$ of \mathfrak{A}'_∞/p^n over S_n , we define a map $(W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD} \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ by $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \otimes u \mapsto u \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k \hat{t}_k^{p^{n-k}}$, where \hat{t}_k is a lifting of x_k in $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$. This is a well-defined ring homomorphism, patches in a non-affine case and induces the inverse map of the natural projection.

Let us consider a surjection $W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n = W_n[X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}]/(E(X_0'^p), f_1, \dots, f_s)$ which sends u to $X_0'^p$ and $X_k'^{p^{-i}}$ to its image for any k, i . Let us denote its kernel $(u - X_0'^p, E(X_0'^p), f_1, \dots, f_s)$ by I . Taking its divided power envelope with respect to I and compatibility with the natural divided power structure on pW , we get a surjection $(W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}])^{PD} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n$. This map is S -linear, where \mathfrak{A}'_∞/p^n is considered as an S -algebra by $u \mapsto X_0'^p$. Thus this surjection defines a thickening of \mathfrak{A}'_∞/p^n over S_n and we get the natural projection $(W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n,\sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD} \rightarrow (W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}])^{PD}$.

Conversely, a surjection $W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}] \rightarrow W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n, \sigma^n} W_n[u]$ sending u to $1 \otimes u$ and $X_k'^{p^{-i}}$ to $[X_k'^{p^{-i-n}}]$ makes the following diagram commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}] & \longrightarrow & W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n, \sigma^n} W_n[u] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathfrak{A}'_\infty/p^n. \end{array}$$

Therefore this surjection induces $(W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}])^{PD} \rightarrow (W_n(A'_\infty) \otimes_{W_n, \sigma^n} W_n[u])^{PD}$. We see that this map is the inverse to the natural projection by the definition. Thus we get an identification $\mathcal{O}_{n, \pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty) = (W_n[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}])^{PD}$ respecting the filtration and the Frobenius. Then [5, Lemme 2.3.2] and [4, 3.20, Remark 8] conclude the proof. \square

We insert here the next lemma for the sake of references.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s \in k[X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}]$ satisfying $\psi_k^p = \bar{f}_k$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty)$ is isomorphic up to a σ -twist to*

$$\bigoplus_{m_0, \dots, m_{s+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} A'_\infty[u - X'_0]/(u - X'_0)^p \gamma_{pm_0}(X_0'^e) \gamma_{pm_1}(\psi_1) \cdots \gamma_{pm_s}(\psi_s) \gamma_{pm_{s+1}}(u - X'_0).$$

Proof. The sequence $u - X_0'^p, X_0'^{ep}, \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_s$ is a regular in $k[u][X_0'^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X_r'^{p^{-\infty}}]$. Their inverse images in $(A'_\infty \otimes_{k, \sigma} k[u])^{PD}$ are $u - X'_0, X_0'^e, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_s$, respectively. Thus the assertion follows from the proof of [10, Proposition 1.7]. \square

From Lemma 2.3, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{J}_{n+1, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{n, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} & \xrightarrow{\times p} & \mathcal{O}_{n+1, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}_{n+1, \pi_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{n, \pi_1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{O}_{n, \pi_1} & \xrightarrow{\times p} & \mathcal{O}_{n+1, \pi_1}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the pull-backs and the left and right squares are commutative. The compositions of the horizontal maps are ϕ . Thus we see that the middle square is also commutative. In

other words, the map pr_x^* is compatible with ϕ_1 . Therefore, we get the morphism of $(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$

$$\Psi_M : \text{Gr}(M)|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(M').$$

Theorem 2.5. *The canonical map Ψ_M is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The sheaves of both sides come from finite flat group schemes $\text{Gr}(M) \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_1}$ and $\text{Gr}(M')$. Thus the bijectivity can be checked after taking the functor Mod . In other words, it suffices to show that

$$\Phi_M : M \otimes_S S' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}(\text{Hom}_{(\text{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}'^S(M, \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}), \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi_1}),$$

defined by $m \otimes s' \mapsto (f \mapsto s'.\text{pr}^*(f(m)))$ is an isomorphism of $'\mathcal{M}'$. Here we denote by pr^* the pull-back map $\mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi_1}$. We want by devissage to reduce this to the p -torsion case.

Lemma 2.6. $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{M}/S_1}^1(M, \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{M}$ which is killed by p .

Proof. Take some $\text{Spf}(\mathfrak{A}) \in \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})_{\text{syn}}$ and an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

We have to show that syntomic locally a splitting of \mathcal{E} exists. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be an adapted basis of M ([5, Proposition 2.1.2.5]) and $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_d$ be their lifts to \mathcal{E} . We mimic [5, Proposition 4.1.3] and seek for a splitting $e_i \mapsto \hat{e}_i$ by modifying \hat{e}_i 's.

Firstly, we modify \hat{e}_i 's to respect the filtration. Let r_j be the minimal natural number satisfying $u^{r_j}e_j \in \text{Fil}^1 M$. There exists $\delta_j \in \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$ such that $u^{r_j}\hat{e}_j + \delta_j \in \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{E}$. By Lemma 2.4, we can decompose δ_j as $\delta_j = \delta_{j,0} + \delta_{j,1}$, where $\delta_{j,0} \in A'_{\infty}$ and $\delta_{j,1} \in \mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$. We have $u^e\hat{e}_j + u^{e-r_j}\delta_j \in \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{E}$ and $u^{e-r_j}\delta_{j,0} \in \mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$. As $\mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$ contains $u - X'_0$, we get $X'_0{}^{e-r_j}\delta_{j,0} = 0$, and in particular $X'_0{}^{p(e-r_j)}\delta_{j,0}^p = 0$ in A'_{∞} . Take an lift $\hat{\delta}_{j,0}$ of $\delta_{j,0}$ in \mathfrak{A}'_{∞} , where $X'_0 = \pi_1$ holds. Then we have $\pi^{e-r_j}\hat{\delta}_{j,0}^p = \pi^e x_j$ for some $x_j \in \mathfrak{A}'_{\infty}$. The ring \mathfrak{A}'_{∞} is π -torsion free and we have $\hat{\delta}_{j,0}^p = \pi^{r_j} x_j$. As \mathfrak{A}'_{∞} is perfect, we can take $y_j \in \mathfrak{A}'_{\infty}$ satisfying $y_j^p = x_j$. Then $(\delta_{j,0} - X'_0{}^{r_j} y_j)^p = 0$ in A'_{∞} . By the definition of the divided power structure on $(k[u][X'_0{}^{p^{-\infty}}, \dots, X'_r{}^{p^{-\infty}}])^{PD}$, we see that $\delta_{j,0} - X'_0{}^{r_j} y_j \in \mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$ and also $\delta_{j,0} - u^{r_j} y_j \in \mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_{\infty})$.

Now we replace \hat{e}_j by $\hat{e}_j + y_j$. Then, $u^{r_j}(\hat{e}_j + y_j) \equiv -\delta_j + \delta_{j,0} \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{E}}$. Thus the map $e_j \mapsto \hat{e}_j + y_j$ respects the filtration.

Next we modify \hat{e}_j to respect ϕ_1 . Set $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_d \end{pmatrix} = \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^{r_1} \hat{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ u^{r_d} \hat{e}_d \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{e}_d \end{pmatrix}$,

where $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{GL}_d(S_1)$ satisfying $\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^{r_1} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ u^{r_d} e_d \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_d \end{pmatrix}$. We have to find

$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}_{1,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty)^{\oplus d}$ such that $\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^{r_1}(\hat{e}_1 + \delta_1) \\ \vdots \\ u^{r_d}(\hat{e}_d + \delta_d) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_1 + \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{e}_d + \delta_d \end{pmatrix}$, or

$$\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^{r_1} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ u^{r_d} \delta_d \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Decompose $c_j = c_{j,0} + c_{j,1} + c_{j,2}$,

where $c_{j,0} \in A'_\infty$, $c_{j,1} \in (u - X'_0)A'_\infty$ and $c_{j,2} \in \mathcal{J}_{1,\pi}^{[2]}(\mathfrak{A}'_\infty)$. By linearity,

it suffices to find the solution for $\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^{r_1} \delta_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ u^{r_d} \delta_{d,k} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{d,k} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ c_{d,k} \end{pmatrix}$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$. We can resolve these equations, taking an appropriate syntomic cover of \mathfrak{A}'_∞ if necessary, just as the proof of [5, Proposition 4.1.3], if we replace Y_0 and X_0 there by X'_0 and $X'_0{}^p$, respectively. \square

Lemma 2.7. $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{M}/S_1}^1(M, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By the Lemma 2.3, the same reasoning as the proof of [5, Lemme 4.1.2] works also in our case and shows that the lemma holds for any M killed by p . Then the definition of the category \mathcal{M} and devissage conclude the proof. \square

Now consider an exact sequence in \mathcal{M}

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

From Lemma 2.7, we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_3, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_2, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_1, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we know that $\mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_i, \mathcal{O}_{\infty,\pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}) = \mathrm{Gr}(M_i)|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}$. Thus, from [5, Proposition 4.2.1.5], we have the following commutative diagram whose vertical sequences are exact;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M_1 \otimes_S S' & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{M_1}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}(\mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_1, \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}), \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi_1}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M_2 \otimes_S S' & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{M_2}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}(\mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_2, \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}), \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi_1}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M_3 \otimes_S S' & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{M_3}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}(\mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_3, \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}), \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi_1}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Thus, by devissage, to prove the theorem, we may assume that $pM = 0$. We have $\mathrm{rank}_{S'_1}(M \otimes_S S') = \mathrm{rank}_{S_1}(M)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathrm{rank}_{S'_1}(\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}(\mathrm{Hom}'_{(\mathrm{Ab}/\mathcal{O}_{K_1})}{}^{\mathcal{M}/S}(M_1, \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}), \mathcal{O}_{\infty, \pi_1})) \\
 & = \mathrm{rank}_{S'_1}(\mathrm{Mod}_{K_1}(\mathrm{Gr}_K(M) \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_1})) = \mathrm{rank}_{S_1}(M).
 \end{aligned}$$

By [5, Lemme 3.3.2], it suffices to show $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi_M) \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^p S'_1 M'$.

Take an adapted basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.6. Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^d s'_i e_i$ be an element of $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi_M)$. Consider the affine algebra R_M of $\mathrm{Gr}_K(M)$ and the element $f \in \mathrm{Hom}'_S{}^{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R_M)) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_K(M)(R_M)$ corresponding to id_{R_M} . Then $f(e_i) \equiv \bar{X}_{i,0} + u\bar{X}_{i,1} + \dots + u^{p-1}\bar{X}_{i,p-1} \pmod{\mathcal{J}_{1, \pi}^{[p]}(R_M)}$, where $X_{i,0}, \dots, X_{i,p-1}$ are the canonical generators of R_M and $\bar{X}_{i,k}$ its image in R_M/p . Here we regard $\bar{X}_{i,k}$ as an element of $\mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R_M)$ by the natural map $R_M/p \otimes_{k, \sigma} k[u] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R_M)$ (see the proof of [5, Proposition 3.1.1, Proposition 3.1.5]). Let us write f_1 for the image of f by the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}'_S{}^{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R_M)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'_S{}^{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R'_M))$, where $R'_M = R_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_1}$. As $m \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Phi_M)$, we have $\sum s'_i \mathrm{pr}_{R'_M}^*(f_1(e_i)) = 0$.

Let $\bar{X}'_{i,k}$ be the image of $\bar{X}_{i,k}$ by the natural map $R'_M/p \otimes_{k, \sigma} k[v] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi_1}(R'_M)$. Now we claim that $\mathrm{pr}_{R'_M}^*(\bar{X}_{i,k}) = \bar{X}'_{i,k}$. It is sufficient to show this coincidence on an appropriate syntomic cover of R'_M . Thus we may consider $\mathrm{pr}_{R'_{M, \infty}}^* : \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi}(R'_{M, \infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{1, \pi_1}(R'_{M, \infty})$, where $R'_{M, \infty}$ is the perfection of R'_M as before. Then the composition

$$(R'_{M, \infty}/p \otimes_{k, \sigma} k[u])^{PD} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}^*} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{crys}}^0(R'_{M, \infty}/p/S'_1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{projection}} (R'_{M, \infty}/p \otimes_{k, \sigma} k[v])^{PD}$$

maps $1 \otimes u$ to $1 \otimes v^p$ and $r \otimes 1$ to $\hat{r}^p \otimes 1$, where \hat{r} is a lifting of r by the canonical surjection $(R'_{M,\infty}/p \otimes_{k,\phi} k[v])^{PD} \rightarrow R'_{M,\infty}/p$. We may take \hat{r} to be $r^{1/p} \otimes 1$. Thus the claim follows.

Therefore we have an equation $\sum_{i=1}^d s'_i(\bar{X}'_{i,0} + v^p \bar{X}'_{i,1} + \cdots + v^{p(p-1)} \bar{X}'_{i,p-1}) = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{1,\pi_1}(R'_M)/\mathcal{J}_{1,\pi_1}^{[p]}(R'_M)$. This equation also holds in $\mathcal{O}_{1,\pi_1}(R'_{M,\infty})/\mathcal{J}_{1,\pi_1}^{[p]}(R'_{M,\infty})$, and its subring $R'_{M,\infty}/p[v]/(v^p - X'_0) = R'_{M,\infty}/p[v]/(v^p - \pi_1)$ (see [5, Lemme 2.3.2]). As $R'_{M,\infty}$ is the direct limit of syntomic covers of R'_M , R'_M/p is a subring of $R'_{M,\infty}/p$. Thus the equation $\sum_{i=1}^d s'_i(\bar{X}'_{i,0} + v^p \bar{X}'_{i,1} + \cdots + v^{p(p-1)} \bar{X}'_{i,p-1}) = 0$ holds in $R'_M/p[v]/(v^p - \pi_1)$. Let us denote $s'_i \bmod v \in k$ by \bar{s}'_i . Taking mod v , we have $\sum_{i=1}^d \bar{s}'_i \bar{X}'_{i,0} = 0$ in $R'_M/p[v]/(v, v^p - \pi_1) = R'_M/\pi_1 = R_M/\pi$. From the proof of [5, Proposition 3.1.1], we know that $X_{1,0}, \dots, X_{d,0}$ are linearly independent over k in R_M/π . Thus $\bar{s}'_i = 0$ and $s'_i \in vS'_1 + \text{Fil}^p S'_1$ for all i . Take $s_i^{(1)} \in S'_1$ satisfying $s'_i - v s_i^{(1)} \in \text{Fil}^p S'_1$. Then we have $v \sum_{i=1}^d s_i^{(1)}(\bar{X}'_{i,0} + v^p \bar{X}'_{i,1} + \cdots + v^{p(p-1)} \bar{X}'_{i,p-1}) = 0$ in $R'_M/p[v]/(v^p - \pi_1)$. However, $R'_M/p \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/p)^{\oplus N} \simeq (k[T]/(T^{ep}))^{\oplus N}$ for some N and $k[T]/(T^{ep})[v]/(v^p - T) \simeq k[v]/(v^{ep^2})$. Thus $R'_M/p[v]/(v^p - \pi_1)$ is finite flat over $k[v]/(v^{ep^2})$, and we have $\sum_{i=1}^d s_i^{(1)}(\bar{X}'_{i,0} + v^p \bar{X}'_{i,1} + \cdots + v^{p(p-1)} \bar{X}'_{i,p-1}) \in v^{ep^2-1}(R'_M/p[v]/(v^p - \pi_1))$. Taking mod v and repeating this procedure shows $s'_i \in v^{ep^2} S'_1 + \text{Fil}^p S'_1 = \text{Fil}^p S'_1$. In other words, $m \in \text{Fil}^p S'_1 M'$. This concludes the theorem. \square

Remark 2.8. In general, let L be a totally ramified extension over K of degree e' whose uniformizer we denote by π_L . When we define $S_L = S_{\pi_L}$ as above, there exists a morphism $S \rightarrow S_L$ respecting the filtration and ϕ_1 if and only if $\pi_L^{e'} = \pi_{\zeta_{p-1}}^i$ for some i .

3. RANK ONE CALCULATION

In this section, we calculate the conductor of a Raynaud \mathbb{F} -vector space scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . The point is that, as we can see from the bound of the conductor ([11, Theorem 7]), it is enough to consider the j -th tubular neighborhood only for $j \leq pe/(p-1) + \varepsilon$ with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. For such j , we can compute the tubular neighborhood easily by Lemma 3.4 below.

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. We write $\pi = \pi_K$ for its uniformizer and e for its absolute ramification index. We normalize a valuation v_K of K as $v_K(\pi) = 1$ and extend it to the algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . For $a \in \bar{K}$ and $j \in \mathbb{R}$, let $D(a, j)$ denote the closed disc $\{z \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(z - a) \geq j\}$. This is the

underlying subset of a $K(a)$ -affinoid subdomain of the unit disc over $K(a)$.

For integers $0 \leq s_1, \dots, s_r \leq e$, let $\mathcal{G}(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ denote the Raynaud \mathbb{F}_{p^r} -vector space scheme over \mathcal{O}_K defined by the r equations $T_1^p = \pi^{s_1} T_2, T_2^p = \pi^{s_2} T_3, \dots, T_r^p = \pi^{s_r} T_1$ ([13]). We set $j_k = (ps_k + p^2s_{k-1} + \dots + p^k s_1 + p^{k+1} s_r + p^{k+2} s_{r-1} + \dots + p^r s_{k+1}) / (p^r - 1)$. Before the calculation of $c(\mathcal{G}(s_1, \dots, s_r))$, we gather some elementary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $s = v_K(a)$. Then the affinoid variety $X^j(\bar{K}) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x^p - a) \geq j\}$ is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} D(a^{1/p}, j/p) & \text{if } j \leq s + pe/(p-1), \\ \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, j - e - (p-1)s/p) & \text{if } j > s + pe/(p-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $v_K(x^p - a) = \sum_i v_K(x - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i)$. If $v_K(x - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i) \geq v_K(x - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^{i'})$ for any $i' \neq i$, then $v_K(x - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^{i'}) \leq v_K(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^{i'}(1 - \zeta_p^{i-i'})) = s/p + e/(p-1)$. Thus we have $v_K(x - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i) \geq \sup(j/p, j - (p-1)s/p - e)$ and

$$X^j(\bar{K}) \subseteq \bigcup_i D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, \sup(j/p, j - (p-1)s/p - e)).$$

Suppose that $j/p \geq j - (p-1)s/p - e$. Then we have $v_K(a^{1/p}(1 - \zeta_p^i)) = s/p + e/(p-1) \geq j/p$, $D(a^{1/p}, j/p) = D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, j/p)$ for any i and thus

$$X^j(\bar{K}) = D(a^{1/p}, j/p).$$

When $j/p < j - (p-1)s/p - e$, we have $v_K(a^{1/p}(1 - \zeta_p^i)) = s/p + e/(p-1) < j - (p-1)s/p - e$. This means that if $w \in D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, j - (p-1)s/p - e)$ for some i , then $v_K(w - a^{1/p}\zeta_p^{i'}) < j - (p-1)s/p - e$ for any other i' . Thus the discs $D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, j - (p-1)s/p - e)$ are disjoint and

$$X^j(\bar{K}) = \prod_i D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i, j - (p-1)s/p - e).$$

These are equalities of the underlying sets of affinoid subdomains of the unit disc over $K(a^{1/p}, \zeta_p)$. By the universality of an affinoid subdomain, this extends to an isomorphism of affinoid varieties. \square

We can prove the following lemma just in the same way.

Lemma 3.2. *The affinoid variety $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x^{p^r} - ax) \geq j\}$ is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} D(0, j/p^r) & \text{if } j \leq p^r v(a)/(p^r - 1), \\ \prod_{i=0}^{p^r-1} D(\sigma_i, j - v(a)) & \text{if } j > p^r v(a)/(p^r - 1), \end{cases}$$

where σ_i 's are the roots of $X^{p^r} = aX$.

Lemma 3.3. *For $g_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_d), g_2(Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_d]$ and $j_1 \geq j_2$, the affinoid variety $\{(x, y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^d \mid v_K(x - g_1(y_1, \dots, y_d)) \geq j_1, v_K(x - g_2(y_1, \dots, y_d)) \geq j_2\}$ is equal to $\{(x, y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^d \mid v_K(x - g_1(y_1, \dots, y_d)) \geq j_1, v_K(g_1(y_1, \dots, y_d) - g_2(y_1, \dots, y_d)) \geq j_2\}$.*

Proof. For fixed (x, y) , these two conditions are equivalent. The universality of an affinoid subdomain proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and $s = v_K(a)$. If $j \leq pe/(p-1) + s$, then the affinoid variety $X^j(\bar{K}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x^p - ay^{p^n}) \geq j\}$ is equal to $\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x - a^{1/p}y^{p^{n-1}}) \geq j/p\}$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.1 shows that the fiber of the second projection $X^j(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ at y is equal to

$$\begin{cases} D(a^{1/p}y^{p^{n-1}}, j/p) & \text{if } j \leq s + p^{n-1}v_K(y) + pe/(p-1), \\ \coprod_{i=0}^{p-1} D(a^{1/p}\zeta_p^i y^{p^{n-1}}, j - e - (p-1)(s + p^{n-1}v_K(y)))/p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have $X^j(\bar{K}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x - a^{1/p}y^{p^{n-1}}) \geq j/p\}$ for $j \leq pe/(p-1) + s$. This is the underlying set of a $K(a^{1/p})$ -affinoid variety. Again this equality extends to an isomorphism over $K(a^{1/p})$. \square

Now we proceed to the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.5. $c(\mathcal{G}(s_1, \dots, s_r)) = \sup_k j_k$.

Proof. We may assume that j_r is the supremum of j_k 's. If $j_r = 0$, then $\mathcal{G}(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is etale and $c(\mathcal{G}(s_1, \dots, s_r)) = 0$. Thus we may assume $j_r > 0$. Consider the homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \mathcal{O}_K[T_1, \dots, T_r]/(T_1^p - \pi^{s_1}T_2, \dots, T_r^p - \pi^{s_r}T_1) \rightarrow \\ B &= \mathcal{O}_K[W, T_2, \dots, T_r]/(W^{p^r} - \pi^{s_1}T_2, T_2^p - \pi^{s_2}T_3, \dots, \\ &\quad T_{r-1}^p - \pi^{s_{r-1}}T_r, T_r^p - \pi^{s_r}W^{p^{r-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

defined by $T_1 \mapsto W^{p^{r-1}}$. This induces a surjection of K -affinoid varieties

$$X_B^j(\bar{K}) \ni (w, t_2, \dots, t_r) \mapsto (w^{p^{r-1}}, t_2, \dots, t_r) \in X_A^j(\bar{K}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_A^j(\bar{K}) &= \{(t_1, \dots, t_r) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^r \mid v_K(t_1^p - \pi^{s_1}t_2) \geq j, \dots, \\ &\quad v_K(t_{r-1}^p - \pi^{s_{r-1}}t_r) \geq j, v_K(t_r^p - \pi^{s_r}t_1) \geq j\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_B^j(\bar{K}) = \{(w, t_2, \dots, t_r) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^r \mid v_K(w^{p^r} - \pi^{s_1} t_2) \geq j, \\ v_K(t_2^p - \pi^{s_2} t_3) \geq j, \dots, v_K(t_r^p - \pi^{s_r} w^{p^{r-1}}) \geq j\}.$$

These are affinoid subdomains of the r -dimensional unit polydisc over K . We calculate a jump of $\{F^j(B)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ at first.

Lemma 3.6. *If $j_r < pe/(p-1)$, then the first jump of $\{F^j(B)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ occurs at $j = j_r$ and $\sharp F^{j_r}(B) = p^r$.*

Note that the base change from K to a finite extension L multiplies s_i 's, j_i 's and e by the ramification index of L/K . Thus, to prove Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.5, we may assume that p^{r-1} divides s_i 's and e .

Proof. Consider the K -affinoid variety X_B^j for $j \leq pe/(p-1)$. Then the iterative use of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 shows that the affinoid variety $X_B^j(\bar{K})$ is equal to

$$\{v_K(w^{p^r} - \pi^{(s_r + ps_{r-1} + \dots + p^{r-1}s_1)/p^{r-1}} w) \geq pl_1(j), v_K(t_2 - g_2(w)) \geq u_2, \\ v_K(t_3 - g_3(t_2, w)) \geq u_3, \dots, v_K(t_r - g_r(t_{r-1}, w)) \geq u_r\},$$

where $l_i(j)$, $g_i(t_{i-1}, w)$, $g_2(w)$ and u_i are defined as follows;

- $l_r(j) = j/p$,
- $l_{i-1}(j) = \inf(j, l_i(j) + s_{i-1})/p$,
- $g_i(t_{i-1}, w) = t_{i-1}^p / \pi^{s_{i-1}}$ and $u_i = j - s_{i-1}$ if $j \geq l_i(j) + s_{i-1}$,
- $g_i(t_{i-1}, w) = \pi^{s_r + ps_{r-1} + \dots + p^{r-i}s_i/p^{r-i+1}} w^{p^{i-2}}$ and $u_i = l_i(j)$ if $j < l_i(j) + s_{i-1}$,
- $g_2(w) = g_2(w^{p^{r-1}}, w)$.

Note that $l_i(j)$ is a strictly monotone increasing function of j . This affinoid variety is isomorphic to the product of the affinoid variety $\{w \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v(w^{p^r} - \pi^{(s_r + ps_{r-1} + \dots + p^{r-1}s_1)/p^{r-1}} w) \geq pl_1(j)\}$ and discs. Therefore, from Lemma 3.2, we see that the first jump of $\{F^j(B)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ occurs at j such that $pl_1(j) = j_r$, provided this j satisfies $0 < j < pe/(p-1)$. Moreover, then we have $\sharp F^j(B) = p^r$. Thus the following lemma and the strict monotonicity of l_1 terminate the proof of Lemma 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.7. $l_1(j_r) = j_r/p$.

Proof. Suppose that there is k such that $l_k(j_r) = j_r/p$ and $j_r \geq l_{k'}(j_r) + s_{k'}$ for any $1 < k' \leq k$. Then we have $l_1(j_r) = \inf(j_r, (j_r + ps_{k-1} + p^2s_{k-2} + \dots + p^{k-1}s_1)/p^{k-1})/p$ and the assumption $j_{k-1} \leq j_r$ implies $l_1(j_r) = j_r/p$. \square

On the other hand, let $s = (s_r + ps_{r-1} + \dots + p^{r-1}s_1)/p^{r-1}$ and $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{p^r-1}$ be the roots of the equation $X^{p^r} - \pi^s X = 0$. Then we see that the images by $w \mapsto w^{p^{r-1}}$ of the discs $D(\sigma_i, pl_1(j) - s)$ are disjoint for $j > j_r$. Hence the surjection $\pi_0(X_B^j(\bar{K})) \rightarrow \pi_0(X_A^j(\bar{K}))$ is bijective for $0 < j \leq pe/(p-1)$ and the first (and the last) jump of $\{F^j(A)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ also occurs at j_r , provided $j_r < pe/(p-1)$.

When $j_r = pe/(p-1)$, we see that $s_k = e > 0$ for any k . Thus we can use Lemma 3.4 for $j < pe/(p-1) + \varepsilon$ with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, by the same reasoning as above, we conclude that $c(A) = pe/(p-1)$. \square

4. HASSE-ARF THEOREM FOR \mathbb{F}_p -RANK TWO CASE

Let K be as in section 1. In this section, we prove Conjecture 1.2 in the case where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$ is reducible.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K of rank p^2 which is killed by p and reducible. Then the I_K -representation $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$ contains $\theta_{K,l}^k$, where $k/l \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the prime-to- p part of the conductor $c(\mathcal{G})$.*

We prove this theorem by a lengthy calculation of the conductor. The point is that, on the one hand, to check the assertion on a character, we may restrict to G_{K_∞} by the full faithful theorem of Breuil ([6, Theorem 3.4.3]) and on the other hand, we can describe a defining equation of R_M explicitly in terms of M after the base change to K_∞ . By abuse of notation, we may write $F^j(M)$ for $F^j(\text{Gr}(M))$ and $c(M)$ for $c(\text{Gr}(M))$. We fix once and for all a $(p-1)$ -st root $\pi_1^{1/(p-1)}$ of π_1 and set $\pi^{1/(p-1)} = \pi_1^{p/(p-1)}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the theorem in the case of $k = \bar{k}$. Let $M = \text{Mod}_K(\mathcal{G})$ be the filtered ϕ_1 -module of \mathcal{G} . By assumption, we have an exact sequence in \mathcal{M}

$$0 \rightarrow M(s) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M(r) \rightarrow 0$$

for some integers $0 \leq r, s \leq e$, where $M(s)$ is the filtered ϕ_1 -module defined by $M(s) = S_1 e$, $\text{Fil}^1 M(s) = u^s S_1 e$ and $\phi_1(u^s e) = e$. We have $\text{Gr}_K(M(s)) \simeq \mathcal{G}(e-s)$ by the notation of Section 3. By [7, Lemma 5.2.2], we may assume that $\tilde{M} = M/\text{Fil}^p S M$ is of the following type;

- $\tilde{M} = \tilde{S}_1 e_0 \oplus \tilde{S}_1 e_1$, where $\tilde{S} = S/\text{Fil}^p S = k[u]/(u^{ep})$,
- $\text{Fil}^1 \tilde{M} = \langle u^s e_0, u^r e_1 + f e_0 \rangle$, where $f \in u^{\sup(0, r+s-e)} \tilde{S}_1$
- $\phi_1(u^s e_0) = e_0$ and $\phi_1(u^r e_1 + f e_0) = e_1$.

Put $m = v_u(f)$. Then we can take an adapted basis of \tilde{M} as follows.

Case A: $(e_0, s), (e_1 + (f/u^r)e_0, r)$ if $m \geq r$

Case B: $(e_0, s), (e_1, r)$ if $s \leq m < r$

Case C: $((f/u^m)e_0 + u^{r-m}e_1, m), ((u^m/f)e_1, r+s-m)$ if $m < r, s$

Before starting calculation of the conductor, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For any exact sequences of finite flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $c(\mathcal{G}_2) \geq c(\mathcal{G}_1), c(\mathcal{G}_3)$.

Proof. The inequality $c(\mathcal{G}_2) \geq c(\mathcal{G}_3)$ follows from [1, Lemme 2.10]. Let us show $c(\mathcal{G}_2) \geq c(\mathcal{G}_1)$. Taking a sufficiently large base change, we may assume that G_K acts trivially on $\mathcal{G}_3(\bar{K})$. Let \mathcal{H} be the maximal prolongation of \mathcal{G}_3 ([13]) and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}_2 \times_{\mathcal{G}_3} \mathcal{H}$. The group scheme \mathcal{G}' is also finite flat over \mathcal{O}_K . We know \mathcal{H} is constant. Thus we have $c(\mathcal{G}') = c(\mathcal{G}_1)$. However, the natural map $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ is a prolongation. Therefore $c(\mathcal{G}') \leq c(\mathcal{G}_2)$ (see the proof of [11, Theorem 7]). \square

A. $m \geq r$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^s e_0 \\ u^r e_1 + f e_0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u^s e_0 \\ (e_1 + (f/u^r)e_0) - (f/u^r)e_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(f/u^r) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 + (f/u^r)e_0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the conductor $c(R'_M) = pc(R_M)$. From Theorem 2.5, it is equal to $c(\text{Gr}_{K_1}(M')) = c(M')$. We see that $(e_0, ps), (e_1 + (f(v^p)/v^{pr})e_0, pr)$ is an adapted basis of \tilde{M}' and

$$\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} v^{ps} e_0 \\ v^{pr} e_1 + f(v^p)e_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -f(v^p)/v^{pr} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 + (f(v^p)/v^{pr})e_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{K_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_2}/p \otimes_{k,\phi} k \simeq k[v]/(v^{ep^2})$ where the last map is k -linear and maps π_1 to u . The matrix above lifts by this surjection to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_0(\pi_1) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $f_0(v) = -f^{\sigma^{-1}}(v)/v^r$. Then, from [5, Proposition 3.1.2], we see that

$$R'_M = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}[X_1, X_2]/(X_1^p + \pi^{e-s}F(\pi)^{-1}X_1, X_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}(X_2 + f_0(\pi_1)X_1)).$$

Let us calculate the affinoid variety

$$\begin{aligned} X_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) &= \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \mid v_{K_1}(x_1^p + \pi^{e-s}F(\pi)^{-1}x_1) \geq j, \\ &\quad v_{K_1}(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}(x_2 + f_0(\pi_1)x_1)) \geq j \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second inequality is equivalent to

$$v_{K_1}(x_1 + f_0(\pi_1)^{-1}x_2 + F(\pi)(f_0(\pi_1)\pi^{e-r})^{-1}x_2^p) \geq j - p(e-r) - (m-r).$$

We have $c(M') \geq c_{K_1}(\mathcal{G}(e-s) \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_1}) = p^2(e-s)/(p-1)$ from Lemma 4.2. Thus we may suppose $j > p^2(e-s)/(p-1)$ and that the affinoid variety defined by the first inequality in the definition of $X_{M'}^j(\bar{K})$ splits. Thus we have

$$X_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \mid v_{K_1}(x_1 - \sigma_{s,l}) \geq j - p(e-s), \\ v_{K_1}(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}x_2 + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}f_0(\pi_1)x_1) \geq j \},$$

where $\sigma_{s,l} = \begin{cases} 0 & (l=0) \\ \pi^{(e-s)/(p-1)}\zeta_{p-1}^l & (l=1, \dots, p-1). \end{cases}$ Let us denote its l -th component by $X_{M',l}^j$. We have a surjection of G_K -modules $F^j(M') \rightarrow F^j(M(s))$ ([1, Lemme 2.10]) and the inverse image of $\sigma_{s,l} \in F^j(M(s))$ by this surjection is equal to $\pi_0(X_{M',l}^j)_{\bar{K}}$. Thus $X_{M'}^j$ splits if and only if $X_{M',0}^j$ splits.

If $s \geq r$, we have $v_{K_1}(\pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}f_0(\pi_1)x_1) \geq j$ and

$$X_{M',0}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \mid \\ v_{K_1}(x_1) \geq j - p(e-s), v_{K_1}(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}x_2) \geq j \}.$$

Thus $c(M') = \sup(p^2(e-s)/(p-1), p^2(e-r)/(p-1)) = p^2(e-r)/(p-1)$.

If $s < r$ and $m \geq p(r-s) + r$, Lemma 3.3 shows that $X_{M',0}^j(\bar{K})$ is the same as the case above and we have $c(M') = p^2(e-s)/(p-1)$. Suppose $s < r$ and $m < p(r-s) + r$. Then we have

$$X_{M',0}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}_1} \mid \\ v_{K_1}(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}(x_2 + f_0(\pi_1)x_1)) \geq j, \\ v_{K_1}(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}x_2) \geq j - (p(r-s) - (m-r)) \}.$$

This affinoid variety splits if and only if $j > p^2(e-r)/(p-1) + p(r-s) - (m-r)$. The conductor equals $\sup(p^2(e-r)/(p-1) + p(r-s) - (m-r), p^2(e-s)/(p-1))$. We see that $p^2(e-r)/(p-1) + p(r-s) - (m-r) \geq p^2(e-s)/(p-1)$ if and only if $(ps-r)/(p-1) \geq m$. This does not occur, since we have $s < r \leq m$ and $(ps-r)/(p-1) \leq s < m$. Thus we have $c(M') = p^2(e-s)/(p-1)$.

To terminate the proof of the theorem in Case A, we must show that $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$ contains $\theta_{K,p-1}^{e-s}$ if $c(M) \equiv p(e-s)/(p-1) \pmod{1/p^\infty\mathbb{Z}}$. Note that, if $p(e-r)/(p-1) \equiv p(e-s)/(p-1) \pmod{1/p^\infty\mathbb{Z}}$, then we have $p^M(e-r) \equiv p^M(e-s) \pmod{(p-1)\mathbb{Z}}$ for some integer M and

$\theta_{K,p-1}^{e-r} = \theta_{K,p-1}^{e-s}$. Thus we may restrict our attention to the case $s < r$. By virtue of the full faithful theorem of Breuil, it suffices to show that the G_{K_1} -module $\text{Gr}(M')(\bar{K})$ contains $\theta_{K_1,p-1}^{e-s}$.

We identify the finite G_{K_1} -set $\text{Gr}(M')(\bar{K})$ with the solution (X, Y) of the equation

$$\begin{cases} X^p + \pi^{e-r} F(\pi)^{-1} X = 0 \\ Y^p + \pi^{e-r} F(\pi)^{-1} Y + \pi^{e-r} f_0(\pi_1) F(\pi)^{-1} X = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the equation $Y^p + \pi^{e-r} F(\pi)^{-1} Y + \pi^{e-r} f_0(\pi_1) F(\pi)^{-1} \sigma_{s,l} = 0$. Its Newton polygon has an internal vertex if and only if $(p-1)/p(m-r+p(e-r)+p(e-s)/(p-1)) - p(e-r) > 0$, which is equivalent to $r > s$. Thus there is one and only one root Y_l of this equation which satisfies $v_{K_1}(Y_l) = m-r+p(e-s)/(p-1)$ for each $l > 0$. Define $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}^\times$ by $Y_l = \alpha^{-1} \pi_1^{m-r+p(e-s)/(p-1)}$. Then α is the root of the equation $T^{p-1} + aT^{p-2} + b = 0$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{K_1}$ with $v_{K_1}(a) = 0$ and $v_{K_1}(b) > 0$. Hensel's lemma shows that $\alpha \in K_1^{nr}$. Thus we have $g(Y_l) = Y_l g(\pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)}) / \pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)}$ for any $g \in I_{K_1}$. Denote by P (resp. Q) an element $(X, Y) = (0, \sigma_{r,1})$ (resp. $(X, Y) = (\sigma_{s,1}, Y_1)$) of $V = \text{Gr}(M')(\bar{K})$. From [5, Lemme 3.1.7], we see that the subspace $\mathcal{G}(p(e-r))(\bar{K}) \subseteq V$ can be identified with a subset $\{(0, 0), (0, \sigma_{r,1}), \dots, (0, \sigma_{r,p-1})\}$. Thus P and Q form a basis of V . For the Galois extension $L = K_1(\pi_1^{1/(p-1)})$ of degree $p-1$ over K_1 , we see that I_L acts trivially on P and Q . This shows that the image of $I_{K_1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ has an order prime to p . Therefore we have $V = \theta_{K_1,p-1}^{e-s} \oplus \theta_{K_1,p-1}^{e-r}$ as an I_{K_1} -module. This concludes the proof in the case A.

B. $s \leq m < r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^s e_0 \\ u^r e_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^s e_0 \\ (u^r e_1 + f e_0) - (f/u^s) u^s e_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 - (f^\sigma(u^p)/u^{ps}) e_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -f^\sigma(u^p)/u^{ps} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $g_0(u) = -f(u)/u^s$. Then a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_0(\pi) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ maps to the matrix above by the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{K_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_1} \otimes_{k,\sigma} k \simeq k[u]/(u^{ep})$. Then we see that

$$R_M = \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, X_2] / (X_1^p + \pi^{e-s} F(\pi)^{-1} X_1, X_2^p + \pi^{e-r} F(\pi)^{-1} (X_2 + g_0(\pi) X_1)).$$

An affinoid variety X_M^j we must calculate is

$$\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x_1^p + \pi^{e-s}F(\pi)^{-1}x_1) \geq j, \\ v_K(x_1 + g_0(\pi)^{-1}x_2 + F(\pi)(g_0(\pi)\pi^{e-r})^{-1}x_2^p) \geq j - (e-r) - (m-s) \}$$

Again it is sufficient to suppose $j > p(e-s)/(p-1)$ and consider an affinoid variety

$$\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x_1) \geq j - (e-s), \\ v_K(x_1 + g_0(\pi)^{-1}x_2 + F(\pi)(g_0(\pi)\pi^{e-r})^{-1}x_2^p) \geq j - (e-r) - (m-s) \}.$$

By the assumption $m < r$ and Lemma 3.3, this is equal to an affinoid variety

$$\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_K(x_2^p + \pi^{e-r}F(\pi)^{-1}x_2) \geq j - (r-m), \\ v_K(x_1 + g_0(\pi)^{-1}x_2 + F(\pi)(g_0(\pi)\pi^{e-r})^{-1}x_2^p) \geq j - (e-r) - (m-s) \},$$

which splits if and only if $j > r-m + p(e-r)/(p-1)$. Therefore we get $c(M) = r-m + p(e-r)/(p-1)$ if $m \leq (ps-r)/(p-1)$ and $p(e-s)/(p-1)$ if $(ps-r)/(p-1) < m < r$. In the latter case, the verbatim arguments as in Case A shows that $\mathcal{G}(\bar{K}) = \theta_{K,p-1}^{e-s} \oplus \theta_{K,p-1}^{e-r}$ as an I_K -module.

C. $r, s > m$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^r e_1 + f e_0 \\ (u^{r+s}/f) e_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} u^r e_1 + f e_0 \\ (u^s/f)(u^r e_1 + f e_0) - u^s e_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ (u^{ps}/f^\sigma(u^p)) e_1 - e_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f/u^m \\ -u^m/f & (f/u^m)(u^r/f + (u^s/f)^p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (f/u^m) e_0 + u^{r-m} e_1 \\ (u^m/f) e_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Again we consider $M' = M \otimes_S S'$. Then the last matrix is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(v^p)/v^{pm} \\ -v^{pm}/f(v^p) & (f(v^p)/v^{pm})(v^{pr}/f(v^p) + (v^{ps}/f(v^p))^p) \end{pmatrix}. \text{ We can take}$$

$$\text{as a lifting of this matrix } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ -1/c & c(\pi_1^{r-m}/c + (\pi_1^{s-m}/c)^p) \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$$

with $c = f^{\sigma^{-1}}(\pi_1)/\pi_1^m$. Thus we get

$$R'_M = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}[X_1, X_2]/(X_1^p + c\pi^{e-m}F(\pi)^{-1}X_2, \\ X_2^p + \pi^{e+m-(r+s)}F(\pi)^{-1}(-c^{-1}X_1 + dX_2)),$$

where $d = c(\pi_1^{r-m}/c + (\pi_1^{s-m}/c)^p)$. As in Section 3, we firstly calculate the conductor of $\tilde{R}'_M = R'_M[W]/(W^p - X_2)$.

Consider an affinoid variety

$$Y_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x, w) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_{K_1}(x^p + \pi^{e-m}F(\pi)^{-1}cw^p) \geq j, \\ v_{K_1}(w^{p^2} + \pi^{e+m-(r+s)}F(\pi)^{-1}(-c^{-1}x + dw^p)) \geq j \}.$$

From the bound of the conductor [11, Theorem 7], we may suppose $j \leq p^2e/(p-1) + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Now we have $e > m$, and Lemma 3.4 shows that the first inequality in the definition of $Y_{M'}^j$ is equivalent to $v_{K_1}(x + \pi_1^{e-m}c^{1/p}F(\pi)^{-1/p}w) \geq j/p$. On the other hand, the second inequality can be written also as $v_{K_1}(x - cF(\pi)\pi^{r+s-e-m}w^{p^2} - cdw^p) \geq j - p(e + m - (r + s))$. Put $\lambda_0 = \pi_1^{(p+1)e+(p-1)m-p(r+s)}/(F(\pi)^{(p+1)/p}c^{(p-1)/p})$ and $\lambda_1 = d\pi_1^{p(e+m-(r+s))}/F(\pi)$. Using Lemma 3.3, we see that this affinoid variety is equal to

$$Y_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x, w) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_{K_1}(x + \pi_1^{e-m}c^{1/p}F(\pi)^{-1/p}w) \geq j/p, \\ v_{K_1}(w^{p^2} + \lambda_1w^p + \lambda_0w) \geq j \}$$

if $j \leq p^2(e + m - (r + s))/(p^2 - 1)$ and

$$Y_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x, w) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid \\ v_{K_1}(w^{p^2} + \pi^{e+m-(r+s)}F(\pi)^{-1}(-c^{-1}x + dw^p)) \geq j, \\ v_{K_1}(w^{p^2} + \lambda_1w^p + \lambda_0w) \geq j/p + p(e + m - (r + s)) \}$$

if $j > p^2(e + m - (r + s))/(p^2 - 1)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Put $P(W) = W^{p^2} + \lambda_1W^p + \lambda_0W$. Then an affinoid variety $\{ w \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_{K_1}(P(w)) \geq j \}$ splits if and only if*

$$j > \begin{cases} p^2(e - r)/(p - 1) - (p(s - m) - (r - m)) & \text{if } m < (ps - r)/(p - 1) \\ p^2(e - s)/(p - 1) - p(r - m) & \text{if } m \geq (ps - r)/(p - 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $\mu_k = v_{K_1}(\lambda_k)$. Consider the Newton polygon of the polynomial $P(W)$. We have $\mu_0(p^2 - p)/(p^2 - 1) - \mu_1 = p(r - m + s - m)/(p + 1) - v_{K_1}(d)$ and

$$v_{K_1}(d) = \begin{cases} r - m & \text{if } m < (ps - r)/(p - 1) \\ r - m + v_{K_1}(c + c^p) & \text{if } m = (ps - r)/(p - 1) \\ p(s - m) & \text{if } m > (ps - r)/(p - 1). \end{cases}$$

In the first and third case, $P(W)$ has $p - 1$ roots of valuation $(\mu_0 - \mu_1)/(p - 1)$ and $p^2 - p$ roots of valuation $\mu_1/(p^2 - p)$. Let w be one of these roots and $V = W - w$. Then $P(V + w) = V^{p^2} + ({}_pC_p w^{p^2-p} + \lambda_1)V^p + (p^2w^{p^2-1} + p\lambda_1w^{p-1} + \lambda_0)V + \sum_{k=2, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots, p^2-1} {}_pC_k w^{p^2-k}V^k + \sum_{k=2, \dots, p-1} {}_pC_k w^{p-k}V^k$. We see that $v_{K_1}({}_pC_p w^{p^2-p}) = ep + (p^2 -$

$p)v_{K_1}(w) \geq ep + \mu_1 > \mu_1$, $v_{K_1}(p^2w^{p^2-1}) - v_{K_1}(p\lambda_1w^{p-1}) = ep + (p^2 - p)v_{K_1}(w) - \mu_0 > 0$ and $v_{K_1}(p\lambda_1w^{p-1}) - \mu_0 = ep + \mu_1 + (p-1)v_{K_1}(w) - \mu_0 \geq ep - (\mu_0 - \mu_1(p+1)/p) > 0$. As for the former summation in the expansion above of $P(V+w)$, $v_{K_1}(p^2C_kw^{p^2-k}) - (p^2-k)v(w) > 0$. As for the latter summation, the valuation of the coefficient of V^k is $v_{K_1}(pC_kw^{p-k})$ and $v_{K_1}(pC_kw^{p-k}) - (\mu_1 + (\mu_0 - \mu_1)(p-k)/(p-1)) \geq ep + \mu_1(p-k)/(p^2 - p) - (\mu_1 + (\mu_0 - \mu_1)(p-k)/(p-1)) = ep + (k(p\mu_0 - (p+1)\mu_1) + 2p\mu_1 - p^2\mu_0)/(p^2 - p) > ep + (2\mu_1 - p\mu_0)/(p-1) > 0$. Thus $P(V+w)$ has the same Newton polygon as $P(W)$. Then [11, Theorem 4] shows that the affinoid variety splits if and only if $j > (p\mu_0 - \mu_1)/(p-1)$ and the lemma follows.

In the second case, the Newton polygon of $P(W)$ has no internal vertex. Thus the nonzero roots of $P(W)$ has valuation $\mu_0/(p^2 - 1)$. Take one of these roots w and consider the polynomial $P(V+w)$ and its expansion as above. We have $v_{K_1}(p\lambda_1w^{p-1}) - \mu_0 = ep + \mu_1 - p\mu_0/(p+1) > 0$ and $v_{K_1}(p^2w^{p^2-1}) - \mu_0 = 2ep > 0$. Thus the valuation of the coefficient of V in $P(V+w)$ is μ_0 . Let us show that the valuation of the coefficient of V^k is larger than $v_{K_1}((p^2-k)\mu_0/(p^2-1)) = (p^2-k)v_{K_1}(w)$ for any $k < p^2$. For $k = p$, we have $\mu_1 \geq (p^2 - p)\mu_0/(p^2 - 1)$ and $v_{K_1}(p^2C_pw^{p^2-p}) > (p^2 - p)\mu_0/(p^2 - 1)$. As for the former summation in the expansion above, $v_{K_1}(p^2C_kw^{p^2-k}) - (p^2-k)v(w) > 0$. As for the latter summation, $v_{K_1}(pC_kw^{p-k}) - (p^2-k)v_{K_1}(w) = ep - p\mu_0/(p+1) > 0$. Again we see that the Newton polygon of $P(V+w)$ is the same as that of $P(W)$ and the affinoid variety splits if and only if $j > p^2\mu_0/(p^2 - 1)$. This concludes the lemma. \square

From this lemma, we see that the affinoid variety $Y_{M'}^j$ does not split for $j \leq p^2(e+m-(r+s))/(p^2-1)$ and splits if and only if $j' = p(e+m-(r+s)) + j/p$ satisfies the inequality of the lemma. Thus we have

$$c(\tilde{R}'_M) = \begin{cases} p^2(e-r)/(p-1) + p(r-m) & \text{if } m < (ps-r)/(p-1) \\ p^2(e-s)/(p-1) & \text{if } m \geq (ps-r)/(p-1). \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the affinoid variety

$$X_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \mid v_{K_1}(x_1^p + \pi^{e-m}F(\pi)^{-1}cx_2) \geq j, \\ v_{K_1}(x_2^{p^2} + \pi^{e+m-(r+s)}F(\pi)^{-1}(-c^{-1}x_1 + dx_2)) \geq j \}.$$

The map $R'_M \rightarrow \tilde{R}'_M$ induces the affinoid map $f : Y_{M'}^j \rightarrow X_{M'}^j$. Note that f sends (x, w) to (x, w^p) and is surjective. From the proof of [11,

Theorem 4], we see that

$$Y_{M'}^j(\bar{K}) = \prod_{k=0}^{p^2-1} \left\{ (x, w) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \times D(w_k, j' - \sum_{w_k \neq 0} v_{K_1}(w_k)) \mid \right. \\ \left. v_{K_1}(w^{p^2} + \pi^{e+m-(r+s)} F(\pi)^{-1}(-c^{-1}x + dw^p)) \geq j \right\}$$

for $j > c(\tilde{R}'_M)$, where $j' = p(e+m-(r+s)) + j/p$ and w_k 's is the roots of the polynomial $P(W)$. Let us denote its k -th component by Y_k . We claim that $f(Y_k) \cap f(Y_l) = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. Suppose that $(x, w) \in Y_k$ and $(x, w\zeta_p^i) \in Y_l$. Then $v_{K_1}(w\zeta_p^i - w_l) = v_{K_1}((w - w_k)\zeta_p^i + (\zeta_p^i - 1)w_k + (w_k - w_l))$. Now we have $v_{K_1}((w - w_k)\zeta_p^i) \geq j' - \sum v_{K_1}(w_k) > \sup v_{K_1}(w_k)$ and thus $v_{K_1}((w - w_k)\zeta_p^i + (w_k - w_l)) = v_{K_1}(w_k - w_l)$. If $m = (ps - r)/(p - 1)$, we have $v_{K_1}(w_k) = v_{K_1}(w_k - w_l)$ for any $k \neq l$ and therefore $v_{K_1}(w\zeta_p^i - w_l) = v_{K_1}(w_k) < j' - \sum v_{K_1}(w_k)$, which is a contradiction. Suppose $m \neq (ps - r)/(p - 1)$. Then, by the notation in the previous lemma, we have $v_{K_1}(w_k - w_l) - v_{K_1}(w_k) \leq (\mu_0 - \mu_1)/(p - 1) - \mu_1/(p^2 - p)$, which equals

$$\begin{cases} (p(s - m) - (r - m))/(p^2 - p) & \text{if } m < (ps - r)/(p - 1) \\ ((r - m) - p(s - m))/(p - 1) & \text{if } m > (ps - r)/(p - 1). \end{cases}$$

We see that these values are strictly smaller than $v_{K_1}(1 - \zeta_p^i) = pe/(p - 1)$ and $v_{K_1}(w\zeta_p^i - w_l) = v_{K_1}(w_k - w_l) \leq \sup v_{K_1}(w_k) < j' - \sum v_{K_1}(w_k)$. Again this is a contradiction. Therefore we get $\sharp\pi_0(X_{M'}^j)_{\bar{K}} = p^2$. For $j \leq c(\tilde{R}'_M)$, we have $\sharp\pi_0(X_{M'}^j)_{\bar{K}} < p^2$ by the surjectivity of f . Thus $c(M') = c(\tilde{R}'_M)$.

Next we prove the assertion on a character. For $m = (ps - r)/(p - 1)$, we have $s \equiv r \pmod{p - 1}$ and the I_K -module $V = \mathcal{G}(\bar{K})$ contains $\theta_{K, p-1}^{e-s} = \theta_{K, p-1}^{e-r}$. Thus we may suppose that $m > (ps - r)/(p - 1)$. By the full faithful theorem of Breuil, it suffices to show that V contains $\theta_{K, p-1}^{e-s}$ as an I_{K_1} -module. The G_{K_1} -set V is identified with the roots of the polynomial $Q(X_2) = (X_2^p + \lambda_1 X_2)^p + \lambda_0^p X_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{K_1}[X_2]$. Consider the Newton polygon of $Q(X_2)$. For $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$, the coefficient of $X_2^{p+(p-1)k}$ in $Q(X_2)$ is ${}_p C_k \lambda_1^{p-k}$ and $v_{K_1}({}_p C_k \lambda_1^{p-k}) - p\mu_1(p^2 - (p + (p - 1)k))/(p^2 - p) = ep > 0$. Thus $Q(X_2)$ has $p - 1$ roots of valuation $p(\mu_0 - \mu_1)/(p - 1) = p(e - s)/(p - 1) - p(s - m)$. Put $X_2 = T^{-1} \pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)-p(s-m)}$. Then $Q(X_2) = 0$ if and only if $T^{p^2-1} + a_0^{-1}(a_1 T^{p-1} + \pi_1^{p\mu_0-(p+1)\mu_1})^p = 0$, where $a_k = \lambda_k / \pi_1^{v_{K_1}(\lambda_k)}$. By Hensel's lemma, there exists a polynomial $R(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{K_1}[T]$ of degree $p - 1$, satisfying $R(T) \equiv T^{p-1} + t \pmod{\pi_1}$ where $t \neq 0 \in k$ and with the property that $R(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha^{-1} \pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)-p(s-m)}$ is a

root of $Q(X_2)$ with valuation $p(e-s)/(p-1) - p(s-m)$. Take such a root w . Then we see that $w/\pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)-p(s-m)} \in K_1^{nr}$ and $g(w) = wg(\pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)})/\pi_1^{p(e-s)/(p-1)}$ for any $g \in I_{K_1}$. By [5, Lemme 3.1.7], we can identify the subspace $\mathcal{G}(p(e-r))(\bar{K})$ of V with the set $\{0, c^{-1}\pi_1^{p(e-r)/(p-1)}, c^{-1}\pi_1^{p(e-r)/(p-1)}\zeta_{p-1}, \dots, c^{-1}\pi_1^{p(e-r)/(p-1)}\zeta_{p-1}^{p-2}\}$. From the shape of the Newton polygon of $Q(X_2)$, we see that w is not contained in this subspace. Therefore the I_{K_1} -action on V is tame and thus $V = \theta_{K_1, p-1}^{e-s} \oplus \theta_{K_1, p-1}^{e-r}$. \square

In the proof of the theorem, we have shown the following.

Corollary 4.4. *If $s, m \geq r$, then $c(\mathcal{G}) = p(e-r)/(p-1)$. Otherwise,*

$$c(\mathcal{G}) = \begin{cases} \sup(p(e-r)/(p-1), p(e-s)/(p-1)) & \text{if } m \geq (ps-r)/(p-1), \\ p(e-r)/(p-1) + (r-m) & \text{if } m < (ps-r)/(p-1). \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbes and A. Mokrane: *Sous-groupes canoniques et cycles évanescents p -adiques pour les variétés abéliennes*, Publ. Math. IHES **99** (2004), 117-162
- [2] A. Abbes and T. Saito: *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields I*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), 879-920
- [3] A. Abbes and T. Saito: *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields II*, Documenta Math. Extra volume: Kazuya Kato's Fiftieth Birthday (2003), 5-72
- [4] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus: *Notes on crystalline cohomology*, Princeton Univ. Press and Univ. of Tokyo Press, (1978)
- [5] C. Breuil: *Groupes p -divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), 489-549
- [6] C. Breuil: *Integral p -adic Hodge theory*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **36** (2002), pp.51-80
- [7] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond and R. Taylor: *On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} : wild 3-adic exercises*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **14** (2001), 843-939
- [8] C. Breuil and A. Mézard: *Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ et de $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$* , Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), 205-310
- [9] B. Edixhoven: *The weight in Serre's conjectures on modular forms*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 563-594
- [10] J.-M. Fontaine and W. Messing: *p -adic periods and p -adic étale cohomology*, Comtemp. Math, **67** (1987), 179-207
- [11] S. Hattori: *Ramification of a finite flat group scheme over a local field*, J. of Number Theory **118** (2006), 145-154
- [12] S. Hattori: *Tame characters and ramification of finite flat group schemes*, preprint
- [13] M. Raynaud: *Schémas en groupes de type (p, \dots, p)* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **102** (1974), 241-280

HASSE-ARF THEOREM FOR \mathbb{F}_p -VECTOR SPACE SCHEMES OF RANK TWO

- [14] J.-P. Serre: *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 179-230

E-mail address: shin-h@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp